

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FI		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,798	06/26/2003	Brett M. Debenham	2831.6US (96-0202.06/US)	6863	
24247 759	90 . 12/02/2005		EXAMI	NER	
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550			CHUNG, PHUNG M		
	ITY, UT 84110		ART UNIT PAPE		
			2138		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-	Application No).	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/608,798		DEBENHAM, BRETT M.			
		E	Examiner		Art Unit			
		F	Phung My Chu	ng	2138			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status				•				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This ac for allowance	-	ormal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the adaptive claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the Claim(s) is/are applicant may not request that any object of the content	ction and/or enter examiner. a) acceptaction to the drag the correction	election required or b) on awing(s) be help in its required if the	ement. Djected to by the E d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to See 37 Cl			
,	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exai	miner. Note ti	le allacheu Office	ACTION OF TORM P	O- 152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/26/03</u> .		5) [Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/608,798 Page 2

Art Unit: 2138

1. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, lines 9-11, "decision circuitry for receiving... for considering the at least one type of failure of the identified types of failures in one of for designating the at least one semiconductor..." is not clear as to what it meant.

As per claim 20, line 14, "the re-dentified types" should be changed to

- - the re-identified types - -.

As per claims 2-19 and 21-22, these claims are also rejected because they dependent upon the rejected base claim.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims a) 1-16 and 18-22

Application/Control Number: 10/608,798

Art Unit: 2138

- b) 1-4, 6-16 and 18-22
- c) 1-16 and 18-22
- d) 1-22
- e) 1-22
- f) 1-22

are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims

- a) 1, 4-7, 9, 11-25, 27-28, 30, 32, 36 and 38
- b) 1, 4-7, 9, 13-25, 27-28, 30, 32-36 and 38
- c) 1, 4-7, 9, 11-25, 27-28, 30, 32-35, 37 and 39
- d) 1-6, 8-23, 25-26, 28, 30, 32-37 and 39
- e) 1, 3-6, 8-23, 25-26, 28, 30, 32-37 and 39
- f) 1, 3-20, 22-23, 25, 27, 29, 31-36, 39 and 41
- of U.S. Patent No.
- a) 6,587,980
- b) 6,523,144
- c) 6,321,353
- d) 6,219,810
- e) 6,138,256
- f) 5,764,650

Art Unit: 2138

Although, the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the limitation of the rejected claims contain similar limitations as recited in a) 1, 4-7, 9, 11-25, 27-28, 30, 32, 36 and 38

- b) 1, 4-7, 9, 13-25, 27-28, 30, 32-36 and 38
- c) 1, 4-7, 9, 11-25, 27-28, 30, 32-35, 37 and 39
- d) 1-6, 8-23, 25-26, 28, 30, 32-37 and 39
- e) 1, 3-6, 8-23, 25-26, 28, 30, 32-37 and 39
- f) 1, 3-20, 22-23, 25, 27, 29, 31-36, 39 and 41
- of U. S. Patent No.
- a) 6,587,980
- b) 6,523,144
- c) 6,321,353
- d) 6,219,810
- e) 6,138,256
- f) 5,764,650

and there is no reason why the rejected claims could not have been presented in the patents.

The following is an example table shows the claims in 10/608,798 that are rejected by corresponding claims in patent 6,587,980.

Claims Comparison Table

10/608,798

6,587,980

1

1

Art Unit: 2138

2	4
---	---

14

21

9

Application/Control Number: 10/608,798 Page 6

Art Unit: 2138

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phung MX)Chung

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2138